arXiv:0906.0322v2 [math.AP] 28 Oct 2009

A Bilinear Estimate for Biharmonic Functions in Lipschitz Domains

Joel Kilty[*] Zhongwei Shen[†]

Abstract

We show that a bilinear estimate for biharmonic functions in a Lipschitz domain Ω is equivalent to the solvability of the Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic equation in Ω. As a result, we prove that for any given bounded Lipschitz domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 < q < \infty$, the solvability of the L^q Dirichlet problem for $\Delta^2 u = 0$ in Ω with boundary data in $WA^{1,q}(\partial\Omega)$ is equivalent to that of the L^p regularity problem for $\Delta^2 u = 0$ in Ω with boundary data in $WA^{2,p}(\partial\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. This duality relation, together with known results on the Dirichlet problem, allows us to solve the L^p regularity problem for $d \geq 4$ and p in certain ranges.

MSC(2000): 35J40.
Keywords: biharmonic function; Lipschitz domain; Dirichlet problem.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$. We will use $WA^{k,p}(\partial\Omega)$ to denote the completion of the set of arrays of functions

$$\left\{ \dot{f} = (f_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq k} = (D^\alpha f|_{\partial\Omega})_{|\alpha|\leq k} : \ f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \tag{1.1}$$

under the scale-invariant norm on $\partial\Omega$,

$$\|\dot{f}\|_{k,p} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{k-|\alpha|}{1-d}} \|f_\alpha\|_p, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the norm in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$. In this paper we are interested in the Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic equation $\Delta^2 u = 0$ in Ω with boundary data taken from $WA^{k,p}(\partial\Omega)$ where $k = 1$ or 2. Recall that the L^p Dirichlet problem, denoted by $(D)_p$, is said to be uniquely solvable if given any $\dot{f} \in WA^{1,p}(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique function u such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ D^\alpha u = f_\alpha & \text{a.e. on } \partial\Omega \ \text{ for } \ |\alpha| \leq 1, \\ (\nabla u)^* \in L^p(\partial\Omega). \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

---

[*] Department of Mathematics, Centre College, Danville, KY 40422. *Email*: `joel.kilty@centre.edu`

[†] Corresponding author, Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40506. *Telephone*: 1-859-257-3470. *Email*: `zshen2@email.uky.edu`

Moreover, the solution u satisfies the estimate

$$\|(\nabla u)^*\|_p + |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{1-d}}\|(u)^*\|_p \le C \sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1-|\alpha|}{1-d}}\|f_\alpha\|_p. \tag{1.4}$$

Here $(w)^*$ denotes the nontangential maximal function of w.

If the Dirichlet data in (1.3) are taken from $WA^{2,p}(\partial\Omega)$ instead of $WA^{1,p}(\partial\Omega)$, we may expect the solution to have one higher order regularity. This is the so-called L^p regularity problem. Let $\nabla_t g$ denote the tangential derivatives of g on $\partial\Omega$. We say that the L^p regularity problem for $\Delta^2 u = 0$ in Ω, denoted by $(R)_p$, is uniquely solvable if given $\dot{g} = \{g_\alpha : |\alpha| \le 2\} \in WA^{2,p}(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique function u such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ D^\alpha u = g_\alpha & \text{a.e. on } \partial\Omega \text{ for } |\alpha| \le 1, \\ (\nabla^2 u)^* \in L^p(\partial\Omega). \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Moreover, the solution satisfies the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\nabla^2 u)^*\|_p + |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{1-d}}\|(\nabla u)^*\|_p + |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{2}{1-d}}\|(u)^*\|_p \\ &\qquad \le C\Big\{ \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|\nabla_t g_\alpha\|_p + \sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{2-|\alpha|}{1-d}}\|g_\alpha\|_p \Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

and $\nabla^2 u$ has nontangential limits a.e. on $\partial\Omega$.

If Ω is a bounded C^1 domain, the L^p Dirichlet problem $(D)_p$ for the biharmonic equation is uniquely solvable for any $1 < p < \infty$ [2, 28]. By the duality argument in [29] for star-shaped Lipschitz domains (or by Theorem 1.1 below), this implies that the L^p regularity problem $(R)_p$ for the biharmonic equation in C^1 domains is uniquely solvable for $1 < p < \infty$. However, it has been known that given any $p < 2$ or p large in the case $d \ge 4$, there is a bounded Lipschitz domain for which the L^p Dirichlet problem is not solvable (see e.g. [7, 18]).

The main result in this paper is the following duality relation between the Dirichlet and regularity problems for the biharmonic equation in Lipschitz domains.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \ge 2$. For the biharmonic equation $\Delta^2 u = 0$, the Dirichlet problem $(D)_q$ in Ω is uniquely solvable if and only if the regularity problem $(R)_p$ in Ω is uniquely solvable.*

Theorem 1.1 extends a similar result in [12] for second order elliptic systems. We mention that although it is not explicitly stated, the duality relation between the Dirichlet and regularity problems was essentially established by G. Verchota in the case of star-shaped Lipschitz domains for Laplace's equation in [27]. Verchota's duality argument relies on the representation of solutions by layer potentials in terms of their Cauchy data, and exploits the square function estimates and a well known fact that there exists a local pointwise estimate between a square function of $D_i u$ and a square function of $D_d u$ [26]. A similar idea was used in [29] to establish the solvability of the L^2 regularity problem for the polyharmonic equation in Lipschitz domains. The approach in [12] and in this paper uses the basic duality argument of Verchota. One of our main contributions is a localization argument which allows us to establish the duality relation between the Dirichlet and regularity problems for each individual Lipschitz domain, not just the class of all Lipschitz domains in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

More precisely, to prove Theorem 1.1, we introduce a bilinear form

$$\Lambda[f,g] := \lim_{m\to\infty}\int_{\partial\Omega_m}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\Delta u\cdot v - \Delta u\cdot\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}\right\}d\sigma = -\int_\Omega \Delta u\cdot\Delta v\,dx, \tag{1.7}$$

for $f,g\in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\Omega_m\uparrow\Omega$ and u,v are solutions of the L^2 regularity problem for the biharmonic equation in Ω with boundary data $D^\alpha f, D^\alpha g$, respectively. The key new idea in this paper is to show that both the solvability of $(D)_q$ and the solvability of $(R)_p$ are equivalent to the following bilinear estimate,

$$\big|\Lambda[f,g]\big| \le C\Big\{\|\nabla_t\nabla f\|_p + |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{1-d}}\|\nabla f\|_p + |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{2}{1-d}}\|f\|_p\Big\}\Big\{\|\nabla g\|_q + |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{1-d}}\|g\|_q\Big\}. \tag{1.8}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1<p<\infty$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. For $\Delta^2 u=0$ in a bounded Lipschitz domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d\ge 2$, the following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The L^q Dirichlet problem $(D)_q$ is uniquely solvable in Ω.*
2. *The L^p regularity problem $(R)_p$ is uniquely solvable in Ω.*
3. *The bilinear estimate (1.8) holds for any $f,g\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

There exists an extensive literature on L^p boundary values problems in Lipschitz domains for p near 2 and $d\ge 2$ (see e.g. [10] for references). In particular the solvability of the L^2 Dirichlet and regularity problems for the biharmonic equation in Lipschitz domains was established in [7, 29]. We mention that in the lower dimensional case $d=2,3$, the sharp ranges of p's for which the L^p boundary value problems are solvable are known for elliptic systems and higher order elliptic equations (see [6, 18, 19, 30]). Results on the inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem for Δ^2 as well as for general higher order elliptic systems may be found in [1, 16, 14, 15]. The L^p boundary value problems in higher dimensional case $d\ge 4$ were studied by Shen in [22, 23, 24, 25]. Related work may be found in [11, 21, 32] for the Stokes system. These results extend the classical work of Dahlberg, Jerison, Kenig, and Verchota in [3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 27] on L^p boundary value problems for Laplace's equation in Lipschitz domains.

More specifically, for the biharmonic equation in Lipschitz domains, the L^p Dirichlet problem is solvable for $2-\varepsilon<p\le\infty$ if $d=2$ or 3; for $2-\varepsilon<p<6+\varepsilon$ if $d=4$; for $2-\varepsilon<p<4+\varepsilon$ if $d=5,6,7$; and for $2-\varepsilon<p<p_d+\varepsilon$ if $d\ge 8$, where

$$p_d = 2+\frac{4}{d-\lambda_d}\ \text{and}\ \lambda_d = \frac{d+10+2\sqrt{2(d^2-d+2)}}{7}, \tag{1.9}$$

(see [7, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24]; the ranges of p are known to be sharp for $2\le d\le 7$). When combined with these results on the Dirichlet problem, Theorem 1.1 allows us to solve the L^p regularity problem for the biharmonic equation in Lipschitz domains. The results in the following theorem are new in the case $d\ge 4$ and $p<2$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then the L^p regularity problem for $\Delta^2 u = 0$ in Ω is uniquely solvable if*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < p < 2+\varepsilon \qquad & \text{if } d = 2,3, \\ (6/5) - \varepsilon < p < 2+\varepsilon \qquad & \text{if } d = 4, \\ (4/3) - \varepsilon < p < 2+\varepsilon \qquad & \text{if } d = 5,6,7, \\ q_d - \varepsilon < p < 2+\varepsilon \qquad & \text{if } d \geq 8, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ depends on Ω, $q_d = p_d/(p_d - 1)$ and p_d is given by (1.9). Moreover, the ranges of p's are sharp for $2 \leq d \leq 7$.

For a general homogeneous elliptic system of order 2ℓ with constant coefficients, it was proved in [23] that given any $p > 2$, the solvability of $(D)_p$ is equivalent to a weak reverse Hölder condition with exponent p. A similar result was established in [12] for $(R)_p$ with $p > 2$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that for the biharmonic equation, the solvability of $(D)_p$ for some $p < 2$ is equivalent to a weak reverse Hölder condition with exponent $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Since the weak reverse Hölder conditions have the self-improving property, we obtain the following.

Theorem 1.4. *For the biharmonic equation in a bounded Lipschitz domain Ω, the set of all exponents $p \in (1, \infty)$ for which $(D)_p$ in Ω is uniquely solvable is open.*

Finally we note that any convex domain is a Lipschitz domain. It was proved in [24] that the L^p Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic equation in convex domains is solvable for $2 \leq p < \infty$. Theorem 1.1, together with recent results on the boundedness of $\nabla^2 u$ for biharmonic functions in convex domains in [13] and Theorem 1.1 in [12], allows us to solve the L^p Dirichlet and regularity problems on convex domains for any $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 1.5. *For the biharmonic equation in a convex domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^d$, the L^p Dirichlet and regularity problems are uniquely solvable for any $1 < p < \infty$. Furthermore, the solution of the L^p Dirichlet problem with boundary data $D^\alpha u \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ for $|\alpha| \leq 1$ satisfies the weak maximum principle*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C\, \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}. \tag{1.10}$$

Remarks on notations. The summation convention will be used throughout this paper. Also, Ω will denote a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$ and n the unit outward normal to $\partial\Omega$. By rescaling we may always assume that $|\partial\Omega| = 1$. We will use $(D)_p$ and $(R)_p$ to denote the L^p Dirichlet and regularity problems respectively for $\Delta^2 u = 0$ in Ω.

2 Preliminary estimates

In this section we collect several estimates that will be needed later in the paper. We start with definitions of the nontangential maximal function and the square function. Given a regular family of truncated nontangential cones $\{\gamma(Q) : Q \in \partial\Omega\}$ for Ω, the nontangential maximal function $(w)^*$ is defined by

$$(w)^*(Q) = \sup\big\{|w(x)| : \ x \in \gamma(Q)\big\} \tag{2.1}$$

and the square function $S(w)$ by

$$S(w)(Q) = \left\{ \int_{\gamma(Q)} \frac{|\nabla w(x)|^2}{|x-Q|^{d-2}}\, dx \right\}^{1/2}. \tag{2.2}$$

It was proved in [17] that if $\Delta^2 u = 0$ in Ω, then

$$\|S(\nabla u)\|_p \le C\, \|(\nabla u)^*\|_p \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\|(\nabla u)^*\|_p \le C \left\{ \|S(\nabla u)\|_p + \sup_K |\nabla u| \right\}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $0 < p < \infty$ and $K \subset \Omega$ is compact.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $P \in \partial\Omega$. Suppose that for some constants $C_0 > C_1 > 10$, we have $\Omega \subset B(P, C_0 r)$ and*

$$B(P, C_1 r) \cap \Omega = B(P, C_1 r) \cap \big\{ (x', x_d) : x_d > \eta(x') \big\}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\eta : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz. Then there exist two regular families of truncated nontangential cones $\{\gamma(Q) : Q \in \partial\Omega\}$, $\{\widetilde{\gamma}(Q) : Q \in \partial\Omega\}$ such that for any biharmonic function u in Ω,

$$S(\nabla u)(Q) \le C \left\{ \widetilde{S}\,(D_d u)\,(Q) + \sup_K |\nabla u| \right\} \quad \text{for any } \; Q \in B(P, 5r) \cap \partial\Omega, \tag{2.6}$$

where $S(w)$ and $\widetilde{S}(w)$ denote the square functions defined by using $\gamma(Q)$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}(Q)$ respectively, and $K \subset \Omega$ is compact.

Proof. Estimate (2.6) follows from the well known interior estimates for biharmonic functions by an argument found in pp. 214-216 of [26]. We omit the details. □

Lemma 2.2. *Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 2.1, we have*

$$\int_{B(P,5r)\cap\partial\Omega} |\mathcal{M}(w)|^p \, d\sigma \le C \left\{ \int_\Omega |w|^p \, dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla w|^p \, dx \right\}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $w \in C^1(\Omega)$, $1 \le p < \infty$, and $\mathcal{M}(w)(Q) = \sup_{0<t<cr} |w(Q + te_d)|$.

Proof. We may assume that $r = 1$. Writing

$$w(Q + t_1 e_d) - w(Q + te_d) = \int_t^{t_1} D_d w(Q + se_d)\, ds$$

for $0 < t < t_1 < c$ and using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$|w(Q + te_d)|^p \;\; \le \;\; C \left\{ |w(Q + t_1 e_d)|^p + \int_0^c |D_d w(Q + se_d)|^p \, ds \right\}.$$

Next integrating both sides in t_1 gives

$$|w(Q + te_d)|^p \le C \left\{ \int_0^c |w(Q + se_d)|^p \, ds + \int_0^c |D_d w(Q + se_d)|^p \, ds \right\}.$$

The desired estimate now follows by taking the supremum in t and then integrating in Q over $B(P, 5r) \cap \partial\Omega$. □

Throughout the remainder of the paper we will often need to approximate a Lipschitz domain Ω with a sequence of C^∞ domains Ω_m. Here we briefly recall some of the most important properties of this approximation scheme, which can be found in [27].

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Then*

1. *There is a sequence of C^∞ domains, $\Omega_j \subset \Omega$, and homeomorphisms, $\Lambda_j : \partial\Omega \to \partial\Omega_j$, such that $\sup_{Q\in\partial\Omega} |Q - \Lambda_j(Q)| \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$ and for all j and all $Q \in \partial\Omega$, $\Lambda_j(Q) \in \gamma(Q)$. Here $\{\gamma(Q) : Q \in \partial\Omega\}$ is a family of regular nontangential cones associated with Ω.*
2. *There are positive functions $\omega_j : \partial\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded away from zero and infinity uniformly in j such that for any measurable set $E \subset \partial\Omega$, $\int_E \omega_j \, d\sigma = \int_{\Lambda_j(E)} d\sigma_j$, and so that $\omega_j \to 1$ pointwise a.e. and in $L^q(\partial\Omega)$, $1 \le q < \infty$.*
3. *The normal vectors to Ω_j, $n(\Lambda_j(Q))$, converge pointwise a.e. and in every $L^q(\partial\Omega)$, $1 \le q < \infty$ to $n(Q)$.*
4. *There exists a C^∞ vector field $\mathbf{h}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that for all j and $Q \in \partial\Omega$*
$$< \mathbf{h}(\Lambda_j(Q)), n(\Lambda_j(Q)) > \ge c > 0,$$
where c depends only on d and the Lipschitz character of Ω.

We will write $\Omega_j \uparrow \Omega$ to indicate such a sequence of approximating domains.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $p \ge 2$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Suppose that $\Delta w = 0$ in Ω, $w = g \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$ on $\partial\Omega$, and $(w)^* \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$. Then $\ell = \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} \in W^{-1,p}(\partial\Omega)$ in the sense that for any $f \in W^{1,q}(\partial\Omega)$,*

$$\ell(f) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} \cdot F \, d\sigma \tag{2.8}$$

where $\Omega_m \uparrow \Omega$ and F is any function with the property that $F \in C^1(\Omega \setminus K)$ for some compact subset K of Ω, $(\nabla F)^* \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$, and $F = f$ a.e. on $\partial\Omega$ in the sense of nontangential convergence. Moreover,

$$\|\ell\|_{W^{-1,p}(\partial\Omega)} \le C \, \|g\|_p \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\ell(f) = \int_{\partial\Omega} w \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \, d\sigma, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ (\nabla v)^* \in L^q(\partial\Omega). \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. The proof uses the fact that the L^q regularity problem (2.11) for Laplace's equation is solvable in any Lipschitz domain for $1 < q \le 2$. See Lemma 4.9 and its proof in [31]. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that* $\Delta^2 u = F$ *in* Ω *and* $F \in C(\overline{\Omega})$. *If the sequence* $\{\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega_m)}\}$ *is bounded for some* $p > 1$ *and* $\Omega_m \uparrow \Omega$, *then* $\nabla^3 u$ *has nontangential limits a.e. on* $\partial\Omega$. *Furthermore,* $\nabla^3 u \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$ *and*

$$\|(\nabla^3 u)^*\|_p \le C\big\{\|\nabla^3 u\|_p + \|F\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\big\}, \tag{2.12}$$

where C *depends only on* d, p *and the Lipschitz character of* Ω.

Proof. Let $\Gamma(x)$ denote the fundamental solution for the operator Δ^2 in $\mathbb{R}^d$, with pole at the origin. By substracting $w(x) = \int_\Omega \Gamma(x-y)F(y)\,dy$ from u, one may assume that $F = 0$. In this case the conclusion of the lemma is proved in [12] (see Theorem 2.1) under the assumption $(\nabla^3 u)^* \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$. An inspection of the proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that the same argument goes through under the weaker assumption that the sequence $\{\|\nabla^{2\ell-1} u\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega_m)}\}$ is bounded, where u is a solution of a general homogeneous elliptic system of order 2ℓ with constant coefficients. We omit the details. □

We end this section with a Green's identity for the bi-Laplacian Δ^2,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega}\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\Delta u\cdot v - \Delta u\cdot\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}\Big\}d\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega}\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\Delta v\cdot u - \Delta v\cdot\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\Big\}d\sigma\\ = \int_\Omega \big\{v\Delta^2 u - u\Delta^2 v\big\}dx\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

for any $u, v \in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$.

3 Uniqueness

In this section we prove two theorems on the uniqueness of the Dirichlet and regularity problems for the biharmonic equation in Lipschitz domains. Let $\Gamma^x(y) = \Gamma(y-x)$, where $\Gamma(y)$ denotes the fundamental solution of $\Delta^2 u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with pole at the origin.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$ *and* $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. *Suppose that for each* $x \in \Omega$, *the* L^q *Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic equation in* Ω *with boundary data* $D^\alpha \Gamma^x$ *has a solution* V^x. *Then the solution of the* L^p *regularity problem for the biharmonic equation in* Ω *with any given boundary data in* $WA^{2,p}(\partial\Omega)$, *if it exists, is unique.*

Proof. Fix $x \in \Omega$ and let $G^x = \Gamma^x - V^x$. For $0 < \varepsilon < (1/4)\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$, choose $\varphi = \varphi_\varepsilon$ so that $\varphi = 1$ in $\{y \in \Omega : \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega) \ge 2\varepsilon\}$, $\varphi = 0$ in $\{y \in \Omega : \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega) \le (1/2)\varepsilon\}$, and $|D^\alpha \varphi| \le C\varepsilon^{-|\alpha|}$ for $|\alpha| \le 4$. Suppose now that $\Delta^2 u = 0$ in Ω. We may write

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \int_\Omega G^x \Delta^2(u\varphi)\,dy\\ &= \int_\Omega G^x\big\{4D_i\Delta u\cdot D_i\varphi + 2\Delta u\cdot\Delta\varphi + 4D_iD_j u\cdot D_iD_j\varphi + 4D_i u\cdot D_i\Delta\varphi + u\Delta^2\varphi\big\}\,dy\\ &= -4\int_\Omega D_i G^x\cdot\Delta u\cdot D_i\varphi\,dy\\ &\quad + \int_\Omega G^x\big\{-2\Delta u\cdot\Delta\varphi + 4D_iD_j u\cdot D_iD_j\varphi + 4D_i u\cdot D_i\Delta\varphi + u\Delta^2\varphi\big\}\,dy. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|u(x)| \le C \int_{K_\varepsilon} \big\{\varepsilon^{-1}|\nabla G^x|\,|\Delta u| + \varepsilon^{-2}|G^x|\,|\nabla^2 u| + \varepsilon^{-3}|G^x|\,|\nabla u| + \varepsilon^{-4}|G^x|\,|u|\big\}\,dx, \tag{3.1}$$

where $K_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega : (1/2)\varepsilon \le \operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega) \le 2\varepsilon\}$.

Let

$$(w)^*_\varepsilon(Q) = \sup\big\{|w(x)| : \ x \in \gamma(Q) \text{ and } \operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega) \le 2\varepsilon\big\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Since $G^x = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we have $|G^x(y)| \le C\varepsilon\,(\nabla G^x)^*_\varepsilon(Q)$ for any $y \in \gamma(Q)$ with $\operatorname{dist}(y,\partial\Omega) \le 2\varepsilon$. Similarly, if $u = |\nabla u| = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we have $|u(y)| \le C\varepsilon^2(\nabla^2 u)^*(Q)$ and $|\nabla u(y)| \le C\varepsilon(\nabla^2 u)^*(Q)$ for any $y \in \gamma(Q)$ with $\operatorname{dist}(y,\partial\Omega) \le 2\varepsilon$. This, together with (3.1), shows that

$$|u(x)| \le C \int_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla G^x)^*_\varepsilon (\nabla^2 u)^*\,d\sigma \tag{3.3}$$

if $\Delta^2 u = 0$ and $u = |\nabla u| = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Finally, if u is a solution of the L^p regularity problem with zero data, then

$$(\nabla G^x)^*_\varepsilon (\nabla^2 u)^* \in L^1(\partial\Omega),$$

as $(\nabla G^x)^*_\varepsilon \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$ and $(\nabla^2 u)^* \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$. Furthermore, since $|\nabla G^x| = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we see that $(\nabla G^x)^*_\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Consequently, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, the integral in (3.3) converges to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$. It follows that $u(x) = 0$ for any $x \in \Omega$. This gives the uniqueness of the L^p regularity problem. □

We have a similar result on the uniqueness of the L^p Dirichlet problem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Suppose that for each $x \in \Omega$, the L^p regularity problem for the biharmonic equation in Ω with boundary data $D^\alpha \Gamma^x$ has a solution W^x. Then the solution of the L^q Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic equation in Ω with any given boundary data in $WA^{1,q}(\partial\Omega)$, if it exists, is unique.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1. Fix $x \in \Omega$ and let $\widetilde{G}^x = \Gamma^x - W^x$. It follows from integration by parts that if $\Delta^2 u = 0$ in Ω,

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \int_\Omega \widetilde{G}^x \Delta^2(u\varphi)\,dy \\ &= 4\int_\Omega D_i D_j \widetilde{G}^x \cdot D_j u \cdot D_i\varphi\,dy + 2\int_\Omega D_i \widetilde{G}^x \cdot D_i u \cdot \Delta\varphi\,dy \\ &\qquad + \int_\Omega \widetilde{G}^x \big\{2 D_i u \cdot D_i \Delta\varphi + u\Delta^2\varphi\big\}\,dy. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, this implies that

$$|u(x)| \le C \int_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla^2 G^x)^*_\varepsilon (\nabla u)^*_\varepsilon\,d\sigma, \tag{3.4}$$

if u is a solution of the L^q Dirichlet problem with zero boundary data. Since

$$(\nabla^2 G^x)^*_\varepsilon (\nabla u)^*_\varepsilon \le (\nabla^2 G^x)^*_{\varepsilon_0} (\nabla u)^* \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 = (1/4)\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)$ and $(\nabla u)^*_\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we again obtain $u(x) = 0$ for any $x \in \Omega$ by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. □

4 A bilinear estimate

Let f, g be two C^2 functions in some neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ and u, v be solutions of the L^2 regularity problem for the biharmonic equation in Ω with boundary data f, g respectively, given in [29, 20]; that is,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ D^\alpha u = D^\alpha f & \text{on } \partial\Omega,\ |\alpha| \le 1, \\ \|(\nabla^2 u)^*\|_2 < \infty, & \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ D^\alpha v = D^\alpha g & \text{on } \partial\Omega,\ |\alpha| \le 1, \\ \|(\nabla^2 v)^*\|_2 < \infty. & \end{cases}$$

Note that $\nabla^2 u$ and $\nabla^2 v$ both have nontangential limits a.e. on $\partial\Omega$. We now introduce the bilinear form

$$\Lambda[f, g] := \lim_{m\to\infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta u \cdot v - \Delta u \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right\} d\sigma = -\int_\Omega \Delta u \cdot \Delta v \, dx, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Omega_m \uparrow \Omega$. Note that $\Lambda[f, g] = \Lambda[g, f]$. Also, since Δu is harmonic in Ω, by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\Lambda[f, g] = \lim_{m\to\infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta u \cdot w - \Delta u \cdot \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} \right\} d\sigma, \tag{4.2}$$

where $w \in C^2(\Omega \setminus K)$ for some compact subset K of Ω and has the property that $(\nabla w)^* \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$, and $w = g$, $\nabla w = \nabla g$ a.e. on $\partial\Omega$ in the sense of nontangential convergence.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $2 \le p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Suppose that the L^p regularity problem for the biharmonic equation in Ω is uniquely solvable. Then*

$$\big|\Lambda[f, g]\big| \le C \Big\{ \|\nabla_t \nabla f\|_p + \|\nabla f\|_p + \|f\|_p \Big\} \Big\{ \|\nabla g\|_q + \|g\|_q \Big\}, \tag{4.3}$$

for any functions f, g which are C^2 in some neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. It follows from the solvability of the L^p regularity problem that $(\Delta u)^* \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$. In view of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\Lambda[f, g] = \ell(g) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \Delta u \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} \, d\sigma$$

where $\ell = \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta u \in W^{-1,p}(\partial\Omega)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \big|\Lambda[f, g]\big| &\le C \|\Delta u\|_p \Big\{ \|g\|_{W^{1,q}(\partial\Omega)} + \|\frac{\partial g}{\partial n}\|_q \Big\} \\ &\le C \Big\{ \|\nabla_t \nabla f\|_p + \|\nabla f\|_p + \|f\|_p \Big\} \Big\{ \|\nabla g\|_q + \|g\|_q \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

□

We will show in the next two sections that for any $1 < p < \infty$, the solvability of either the L^p regularity problem or the L^q Dirichlet problem also implies the bilinear estimate (4.3). The proofs, however, are much more involved.

5 $(D)_q$ implies bilinear estimate

Theorem 5.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. If the L^q Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic equation in Ω is uniquely solvable, then the bilinear estimate (1.8) holds.*

The proof of Theorem 5.1 relies on the following technical lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $1 < q < \infty$. Suppose that the L^q Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic equation in Ω is uniquely solvable. Also suppose that $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\nabla^3 u$ exists a.e. on $\partial\Omega$, and $u = 0$ on $\Omega \backslash B(P, r)$ for some $P \in \partial\Omega$. We further assume that for some $C_0 > C_1 > 10$, $\Omega \subset B(P, C_0 r)$,*

$$B(P, C_1 r) \cap \Omega = B(P, C_1 r) \cap \big\{(x', x_d) : \ x_d > \eta(x')\big\},$$

$\Delta^2 u \in L^q(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\nabla D_d D_d u) \in L^q(B(P, 5r) \cap \partial\Omega)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{I(2r)} |\mathcal{M}(\nabla^3 u)|^q \, d\sigma \le C \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla D_d D_d u|^q \, d\sigma + C \int_{\partial\Omega} |D_d D_d u|^q \, d\sigma \\ + C \int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u|^q \, dx + C \int_K |\nabla^3 u|^q \, dx,\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where $I(t) = B(P, t) \cap \partial\Omega$ and K is a compact subset of Ω.

Proof. We may assume that $P = 0$. Let

$$\widetilde{u} = u - \Gamma * (\Delta^2 u) = u(x) - \int_\Omega \Gamma(x - y) \cdot \Delta^2 u(y) \, dy,$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the fundamental solution of the biharmonic equation with pole at the origin. Then $\Delta^2 \widetilde{u} = 0$ in Ω and

$$\int_{I(2r)} |\mathcal{M}(\nabla^3 u)|^q \, d\sigma \le C \int_{I(2r)} |\mathcal{M}(\nabla^3 \widetilde{u})|^q \, d\sigma + C \int_{I(2r)} |\mathcal{M}(\nabla^3 \Gamma * (\Delta^2 u))|^q \, d\sigma. \tag{5.2}$$

We now estimate both terms on the right hand side of (5.2), beginning with the second term. Using Lemma 2.2 as well as the fractional and singular integral estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{I(2r)} |\mathcal{M}(\nabla^3 \Gamma * (\Delta^2 u))|^q \, d\sigma &\le C \int_\Omega |\nabla^4 \Gamma * (\Delta^2 u)|^q \, dx + C \int_\Omega |\nabla^3 \Gamma * (\Delta^2 u)|^q \, dx \\ &\le C \int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u|^q \, dx.\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

To estimate the first term in the right hand side of (5.2), we use the square function estimate (2.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{I(2r)} |\mathcal{M}(\nabla^3 \widetilde{u})|^q \, d\sigma &\le C \int_{\partial\Omega} |(\nabla^3 \widetilde{u})^*|^q \, d\sigma \\ &\le C \int_{\partial\Omega} |S(\nabla^3 \widetilde{u})|^q \, d\sigma + C \sup_{K_1} |\nabla^3 \widetilde{u}|^q,\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where K_1 is a compact subset of Ω. With the well known interior estimates for biharmonic functions, we can handle the second term in (5.4) in the following way:

$$\sup_{K_1} |\nabla^3 \widetilde{u}|^q \leq C \int_{K_2} |\nabla^3 \widetilde{u}|^q \, dx \leq C \int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u|^q \, dx + C \int_{K_2} |\nabla^3 u|^q \, dx \tag{5.5}$$

where $K_2 \supset K_1$ is a compact subset of Ω.

Next we will estimate the term involving the square function in (5.4) with the following observations,

$$\begin{aligned} S(\nabla^3 \widetilde{u}) &= S(\nabla^3 \Gamma * (\Delta^2 u)) \leq C \int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u| \, dx \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega \backslash B(0, 3r), & (5.6) \\ S(\nabla^3 \widetilde{u}) &\leq C \left\{ \widetilde{S}\left(\nabla D_d D_d \widetilde{u}\right) + \sup_{K_3} |\nabla^3 \widetilde{u}| \right\} \qquad \text{on } B(0, 3r) \cap \partial\Omega, & (5.7) \end{aligned}$$

where $K_3 \supset K_2$ is a compact subset of Ω and $\widetilde{S}(w)$ denotes a square function of w, defined by using a regular family of nontangential cones which are slightly larger than the ones used for $S(w)$. Estimate (5.6) is a simple consequence of the fact that $u = 0$ on $\Omega \backslash B(0, r)$, while estimate (5.7) follows by applying Lemma 2.1 twice.

Now, using estimates (5.6) and (5.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} |S(\nabla^3 \widetilde{u})|^q \, d\sigma &= \int_{I(3r)} |S(\nabla^3 \widetilde{u})|^q \, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega \backslash I(3r)} |S(\nabla^3 \widetilde{u})|^q \, d\sigma \\ &\leq C \left\{ \int_{I(3r)} \left| \widetilde{S}\left(\nabla D_d D_d \widetilde{u}\right) \right|^q \, d\sigma + \int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u|^q \, dx + \sup_{K_3} |\nabla^3 \widetilde{u}|^q \right\} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} |(\nabla D_d D_d \widetilde{u})^*|^q \, d\sigma + \int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u|^q \, dx + \int_{K_4} |\nabla^3 \widetilde{u}|^q \, dx \right\} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} |(\nabla D_d D_d \widetilde{u})^*|^q \, d\sigma + \int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u|^q \, dx + \int_{K_4} |\nabla^3 u|^q \, dx \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where we have used the square function estimate (2.3) in the second inequality.

Note that $D_d D_d \widetilde{u}$ is biharmonic in Ω. We claim that

$$(\nabla D_d D_d \widetilde{u})^* \in L^q(\partial\Omega). \tag{5.9}$$

Assume the claim for a moment. We may then use the solvability of the L^q Dirichlet problem to estimate the first term in the right hand side of (5.8). This, together with Lemma 2.2, gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial\Omega} |(\nabla D_d D_d \widetilde{u})^*|^q \, d\sigma \\
&\le C \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla D_d D_d \widetilde{u}|^q \, d\sigma + C \int_{\partial\Omega} |D_d D_d \widetilde{u}|^q \, d\sigma \\
&\le C \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla D_d D_d u|^q \, d\sigma + C \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla D_d D_d (\Gamma * (\Delta^2 u))|^q \, d\sigma \\
&\qquad + C \int_{\partial\Omega} |D_d D_d u|^q \, d\sigma + C \int_{\partial\Omega} |D_d D_d (\Gamma * (\Delta^2 u))|^q \, d\sigma \\
&\le C \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla D_d D_d u|^q \, d\sigma + C \int_{\partial\Omega} |D_d D_d u|^q + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla^4 \Gamma * (\Delta^2 u)|^q \, dx \\
&\qquad + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla^3 \Gamma * (\Delta^2 u)|^q \, dx + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla^2 \Gamma * (\Delta^2 u)|^q \, dx \\
&\le C \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla D_d D_d u|^q \, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega} |D_d D_d u|^q \, d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta^2 u|^q \, dx \right\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.10}
$$

By combining estimates (5.2), (5.3), (5.4), (5.5), (5.8) and (5.10), we obtain the desired estimate.

Finally we need to prove the claim (5.9). Since $\nabla D_d D_d \widetilde{u}$ is bounded in $\Omega \setminus B(0, 2r)$, we only need to show that $(\nabla D_d D_d \widetilde{u})^* \in L^q(I(3r))$. Note that if $\Delta^2 w = 0$ in Ω, we may use interior estimates to show that

$$
(w)^* \le C M_{\partial\Omega}(\mathcal{M}(w)) \qquad \text{on } I(3r),
$$

where $M_{\partial\Omega}$ denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on $\partial\Omega$. Hence it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{M}(\nabla D_d D_d \widetilde{u}) \in L^q(I(5r))$. But this is easy as $\mathcal{M}(\nabla D_d D_d u) \in L^q(I(5r))$ by the assumption and the desired estimate for $\mathcal{M}(\nabla D_d D_d \Gamma * (\Delta^2 u))$ follows directly from Lemma 2.2. The proof of Lemma 5.2 is now complete. ☐

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Proof. (of Theorem 5.1) It suffices to consider the case $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subset B(P_0, r)$ where $P_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and $B(P_0, C_1 r) \cap \partial\Omega$ is given by the graph of a Lipschitz function after a possible rotation. For otherwise write $g = \sum_{j=1}^{M} g\varphi_j$ where $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\sum \varphi_j = 1$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then, $v = \sum v_j$ where v_j is the solution of the L^2 regularity problem with boundary data $D^\alpha(g\varphi_j)$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big|\Lambda[f, g]\big| &\le \sum_j |\Lambda[f, \varphi_j g]| \\
&\le C \sum_j \Big\{ \|\nabla_t \nabla(\varphi_j g)\|_p + \|\nabla(\varphi_j g)\|_p + \|\varphi_j g\|_p \Big\} \Big\{ \|\nabla f\|_q + \|f\|_q \Big\} \\
&\le C \Big\{ \|\nabla_t \nabla g\|_p + \|\nabla g\|_p + \|g\|_p \Big\} \Big\{ \|\nabla f\|_q + \|f\|_q \Big\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.11}
$$

Assume $P_0 = 0$ and choose $\psi \in C_0^\infty(B(0,4r))$ such that $\psi = 1$ on $B(0,3r)$ and $|D^\alpha \psi| \leq Cr^{-|\alpha|}$ for $|\alpha| \leq 4$. Now define

$$\begin{aligned} u_{-1}(x', x_d) &= -\int_{x_d}^{\infty} u(x', s)\psi(x', s)\, ds, \\ u_{-2}(x', x_d) &= \int_{x_d}^{\infty}\int_{t}^{\infty} u(x', s)\psi(x', s)\, dsdt. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $D_d u_{-2} = u_{-1}$ and $D_d D_d u_{-2} = u\psi$ in Ω and in particular $D_d D_d u_{-2} = u$ on $B(0,3r) \cap \Omega$. Also, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u_{-1} &= -\int_{x_d}^{\infty} \Delta^2\{u(x', s)\psi(x', s)\}\, ds \\ &= -\int_{x_d}^{\infty} \big\{4D_i\psi \cdot D_i\Delta u + 2\Delta\psi \cdot \Delta u + 4D_iD_k u \cdot D_iD_k\psi \\ &\qquad + 4D_i u \cdot D_i\Delta\psi + u \cdot \Delta^2\psi\big\}\, ds \end{aligned}$$

where we've used the fact that $\Delta^2 u = 0$ in Ω. Since $\operatorname{supp}(\nabla\psi) \subset B(0,4r) \setminus B(0,3r)$, it follows that on $B(0,2r) \cap \Omega$,

$$|\Delta^2 u_{-1}| \leq C \sup_K |u|, \tag{5.12}$$

where $K \subset\subset \Omega$ is compact.

Let $w = v\varphi$ where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B(0,2r)$ and $\varphi = 1$ in $B(0,r)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\partial\Omega_m} w \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\Delta u\, d\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} \cdot \Delta u\, d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega_m} w \cdot n_k D_k D_i D_i D_d D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega_m} n_k D_k w \cdot D_i D_i D_d D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

where $\Omega_m \uparrow \Omega$.

We begin by working with the second term in the right hand side of (5.13). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\partial\Omega_m} n_k D_k w \cdot D_i D_i D_d D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega_m} D_k w \cdot (n_k D_d - n_d D_k) D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} D_k w \cdot n_d D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\ &= -\int_{\partial\Omega_m} (n_k D_d - n_d D_k) D_k w \cdot D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\ &\qquad + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} D_k w \cdot n_d D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

We now consider the first term in the right hand side of (5.13). Using integration by parts we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial\Omega_m} w\cdot n_k D_k D_i D_i D_d D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega_m} w\cdot (n_k D_d - n_d D_k) D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} w\cdot n_d D_k D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\
&= -\int_{\partial\Omega_m} (n_k D_d - n_d D_k) w\cdot D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} w\cdot n_d D_k D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.15}
$$

By combining equations (5.13), (5.14), and (5.15) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial\Omega_m} w\cdot n_k D_k D_i D_i D_d D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega_j} n_k D_k w\cdot D_i D_i D_d D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega_m} (n_d D_k - n_k D_d) w\cdot D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} (n_k D_d - n_d D_k) D_k w\cdot D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\
&\qquad - \int_{\partial\Omega_m} D_k w\cdot n_d D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} w\cdot n_d D_k D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\
&= -\int_{\partial\Omega_m} n_k D_d w\cdot D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} (n_k D_d - n_d D_k) D_k w\cdot D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\
&\qquad + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} w\cdot n_d D_k D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega_j} D_d w\cdot (n_d D_k - n_k D_d) D_k D_i D_i u_{-2}\, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} (n_k D_d - n_d D_k) D_k w\cdot D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\
&\qquad + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} w\cdot n_d D_k D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega_m} (n_k D_d - n_d D_k) D_d w\cdot D_k D_i D_i u_{-2}\, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} (n_k D_d - n_d D_k) D_k w\cdot D_i D_i u_{-1}\, d\sigma. \\
&\qquad + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} w\cdot n_d D_k D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have proved that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial\Omega_m} w\cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\Delta u\, d\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \frac{\partial w}{\partial n}\cdot \Delta u\, d\sigma \\
&\quad = \int_{\partial\Omega_m} (n_k D_d - n_d D_k) D_d w\cdot D_k D_i D_i u_{-2}\, d\sigma \\
&\qquad\qquad + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} (n_k D_d - n_d D_k) D_k w\cdot D_i D_i u_{-1}\, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} w\cdot n_d D_k D_k D_i D_i D_d u_{-2}\, d\sigma.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.16}
$$

We now let $m \to \infty$. Note that the left hand side of (5.16) becomes $\Lambda[f, g]$ in view of Lemma 2.4. The second term on the right hand side has a limit because both $\nabla^2 u$ and $\nabla^2 v$ have nontangential limits a.e. on $\partial\Omega$ and their nontangential maximal functions are in L^2 since u and v are L^2 solutions of the regularity problem. The first term on the right hand side of (5.16) can be handled by Lemmas 2.5 and 5.2. Recall that w is supported in the ball $B(0,2r)$. Thus, Lemma 5.2 implies that $\mathcal{M}(\nabla^3 u_{-2}) \in L^q(I(8r))$. It then follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\nabla^3 u_{-2}$ has nontangential limits a.e. on $I(2r)$ and $(\nabla^3 u_{-2})^* \in L^q(I(2r))$. The final term on the right hand side of (5.16) has a limit because v has nontangential limits a.e. on $\partial\Omega$ and because of estimate (5.12). Thus, letting $m \to \infty$ in the equation (5.16) leads to

$$\big|\Lambda[f,g]\big| \le C\left\{\|\nabla_t \nabla(g\varphi)\|_p \|\nabla^3 u_{-2}\|_q + \|g\varphi\|_p \sup_K |u|\right\}. \tag{5.17}$$

Note that since the L^q Dirichlet problem in Ω is solvable, we have that

$$\sup_K |u| \le C\|(u)^*\|_q \le C\left\{\|\nabla f\|_q + \|f\|_q\right\}. \tag{5.18}$$

Thus, we only need to estimate $\|\nabla^3 u_{-2}\|_q$. To do this we apply Lemma 5.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla^3 u_{-2}|^q \, d\sigma \le C\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla(u\psi)|^q \, d\sigma + C\int_{\partial\Omega} |u\psi|^q \, d\sigma \\ + C\int_K |\nabla^3 u_{-2}|^q \, dx + C\int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u_{-2}|^q \, dx.\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Note that by the interior estimates and the solvability of the L^q Dirichlet problem we have

$$\int_K |\nabla^3 u_{-2}|^q \, dx \le C \sup_{\widetilde{K}} |u|^q \le C\left\{\int_{\partial\Omega} |f|^q \, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla f|^q \, d\sigma\right\}. \tag{5.20}$$

To estimate the last term in (5.19) we use Hardy's inequality twice to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u_{-2}|^q \, dx &\le C\int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u_{-1}|^q \left\{\text{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)\right\}^q \, dx \\ &\le C\int_\Omega |\Delta^2 (u\psi)|^q \left\{\text{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)\right\}^{2q} \, dx \\ &\le C\int_\Omega \left\{|\nabla^3 u|^q + |\nabla^2 u|^q + |\nabla u|^q + |u|^q\right\} \left\{\text{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)\right\}^{2q} \, dx \\ &\le C\int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{|(\nabla u)^*|^q + |(u)^*|^q\right\} d\sigma \\ &\le C\int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{|\nabla f|^q + |f|^q\right\} d\sigma.\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Thus, combining estimates (5.19), (5.20) and (5.21) we obtain

$$\|\nabla^3 u_{-2}\|_q \le C\left\{\|\nabla f\|_q + \|f\|_q\right\}. \tag{5.22}$$

In view of (5.17), (5.18) and (5.22), we may conclude that

$$\big|\Lambda[f,g]\big| \le C\left\{\|\nabla_t \nabla g\|_p + \|\nabla g\|_p + \|g\|_p\right\}\left\{\|\nabla f\|_q + \|f\|_q\right\}.$$

Since $\Lambda[f,g] = \Lambda[g,f]$, this completes the proof. $\square$

6 $(R)_p$ implies bilinear estimate

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$ *and* $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. *If the* L^p *regularity problem for the biharmonic equation in* Ω *is uniquely solvable, then the bilinear estimate (1.8) holds.*

The proof of Theorem 6.1 is similar to (and slightly simpler than) that of Theorem 5.1.

Lemma 6.2. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$. *Suppose that the* L^p *regularity problem for the biharmonic equation in* Ω *is uniquely solvable. Also suppose that* $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\nabla^3 u$ *exists a.e. on* $\partial\Omega$, *and* $u = 0$ *on* $\Omega\backslash B(P,r)$ *for some* $P \in \partial\Omega$. *We further assume that for some* $C_0 > C_1 > 10$, $\Omega \subset B(P, C_0 r)$,

$$B(P,C_1 r)\cap\Omega = B(P,C_1 r)\cap\big\{(x',x_d):\ x_d > \eta(x')\big\},$$

$\Delta^2 u \in L^p(\Omega)$ *and* $\mathcal{M}(\nabla^2 D_d u) \in L^p(B(P,5r)\cap\partial\Omega)$. *Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{I(2r)} |\mathcal{M}(\nabla^3 u)|^p\, d\sigma \le C\int_{\partial\Omega} \left|\nabla^2 D_d u\right|^p\, d\sigma + C\int_{\partial\Omega}\big\{|\nabla D_d u|^p + |D_d u|^p\big\}\, d\sigma \\ + C\int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u|^p\, dx + C\int_K |\nabla^3 u|^p\, dx,\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where $I(t) = B(P,t)\cap\partial\Omega$ *and* K *is a compact subset of* Ω.

Proof. We will only give a sketch of the proof, as it is similar to that of Lemma 5.2. Let $\widetilde{u} = u - \Gamma * (\Delta^2 u)$. An inspection of the proof of Lemma 5.2 shows that it suffices to bound $\|(\nabla^2 D_d \widetilde{u})^*\|_p^p$ by the right hand side of (6.1). To this end we first note that $D_d\widetilde{u}$ is biharmonic in Ω. Also, since $\Delta^2 u \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\nabla^2 D_d u) \in L^p(I(5r))$ by assumption, it follows from the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.2 that

$$(\nabla^2 D_d \widetilde{u})^* \in L^p(\partial\Omega). \tag{6.2}$$

As a result, we may use the solvability of the L^p regularity problem in Ω as well as Lemma 2.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\partial\Omega} |(\nabla^2 D_d\widetilde{u})^*|^p\, d\sigma \\ &\le C\int_{\partial\Omega}\big\{|\nabla^2 D_d\widetilde{u}|^p + |\nabla D_d\widetilde{u}|^p + |D_d\widetilde{u}|^p\big\}\, d\sigma \\ &\le C\int_{\partial\Omega}|\nabla^2 D_d u|^p\, d\sigma + C\int_{\partial\Omega}\big\{|\nabla D_d u|^p + |D_d u|^p\big\}\, d\sigma + C\int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u|^p\, dx.\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

This finishes the proof. □

We now are in a position to give the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Proof. (of Theorem 6.1) By a partition of unity as well as rotation and translation we may assume that $\text{supp}(g) \subset B(0,r)$, $0 \in \partial\Omega$, and $B(0,C_1 r)\cap\partial\Omega$ is given by the graph of a

Lipschitz function. Since the integral of $\Delta u \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}$ on $\partial\Omega$ is clearly bounded by the right hand side of (1.8), it suffices to bound

$$L = \left| \lim_{m\to\infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_m} w \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta u \, d\sigma \right|, \tag{6.4}$$

where $w = v\varphi$ with $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B(0,2r))$ and $\varphi = 1$ in $B(0,r)$.

To this end we define u_{-1} as in the proof of Theorem 5.1 and write

$$w \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta u = w \cdot (n_j D_d - n_d D_j) D_j \Delta u_{-1} + w \cdot n_d \Delta^2 u_{-1}, \tag{6.5}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \left| \lim_{m\to\infty} \left[\int_{\partial\Omega_m} (n_j D_d - n_d D_j) w \cdot D_j \Delta u_{-1} \, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} w \cdot n_d \Delta^2 u_{-1} \right] \right| \\ &\le C \, \|\nabla_t (g\varphi)\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \|\mathcal{M}(\nabla^3 u_{-1})\|_{L^p(I(2r))} + C \|g\varphi\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \cdot \sup_K |u|, \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where $K \subset\subset \Omega$ is a compact set. First, note that since the L^p regularity problem is uniquely solvable we have

$$\sup_K |u| \le C \|(u)^*\|_p \le C \left\{ \|\nabla_t \nabla f\|_p + \|\nabla f\|_p + \|f\|_p \right\}. \tag{6.7}$$

Also, note that since $(R)_p$ in Ω is uniquely solvable, we have $(\nabla^2 u)^* \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$. By Hardy inequality, this implies that $\Delta^2 u_{-1} \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\nabla^2 D_d u_{-1}) \in L^p(I(5r))$. Hence we may apply Lemma 6.2 to the function u_{-1}. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I(2r)} |\mathcal{M}(\nabla^3 u_{-1})|^p \, d\sigma &\le C \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ |\nabla^2 (u\psi)|^p + |\nabla (u\psi)|^p + |u\psi|^p \right\} d\sigma \\ &\qquad + C \int_\Omega |\Delta^2 u_{-1}|^p \, dx + C \int_K |\nabla^3 u_{-1}|^p \, dx \\ &\le C \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ |\nabla^2 u|^p + |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \right\} d\sigma \\ &\qquad + C \int_\Omega |\Delta^2 (u\psi)|^p \left\{ \mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \right\}^p dx + C \sup_{K_1} |u|^p \\ &\le C \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ |(\nabla^2 u)^*|^p + |(\nabla u)^*|^p + |(u)^*|^p \right\} d\sigma \\ &\le C \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ |\nabla_t \nabla f|^p + |\nabla f|^p + |f|^p \right\} d\sigma, \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

where we have used Hardy's inequality in the second inequality and the L^p regularity estimate in the last inequality. The desired estimate for L now follows from (6.6), (6.7), and (6.8). ☐

7 Bilinear estimate implies $(R)_p$ and $(D)_q$

In the previous two sections we proved that both the solvability of $(D)_q$ and the solvability of $(R)_p$ imply the bilinear estimate (1.8). We will see in this section that the converse is also true.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Suppose the bilinear estimate (1.8) holds for any $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then the L^p regularity problem is uniquely solvable.*

Proof. To establish the existence in $(R)_p$, it suffices to show that if $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and u is the unique solution of the L^2 regularity problem with boundary data $D^\alpha g$, then estimate (1.6) holds. The existence of solutions with data in $WA^{2,p}(\partial\Omega)$ follows from this by a standard approximation argument (see e.g. [12] for the case of second order elliptic systems).

Let $\Omega_m \uparrow \Omega$. It follows from the Green's identity (2.13) that

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta\Gamma^x \cdot u - \Delta\Gamma^x \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right\} d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \left\{ \frac{\partial \Gamma^x}{\partial n} \cdot \Delta u - \Gamma^x \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta u \right\} d\sigma. \tag{7.1}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} D_j D_k u(x) = &\int_{\partial\Omega_m} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta D_j D_k \Gamma^x \cdot u - \Delta D_j D_k \Gamma^x \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right\} d\sigma \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} D_j D_k \Gamma^x \cdot \Delta u - D_j D_k \Gamma^x \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta u \right\} d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

To deal with the first term in the right hand side of (7.2), we use the following identity,

$$\begin{aligned} &n_\ell D_\ell \Delta D_j D_k \Gamma^x \cdot u - \Delta D_j D_k \Gamma^x \cdot n_\ell D_\ell u \\ &= (n_\ell D_j - n_j D_\ell)\big\{ D_\ell \Delta D_k \Gamma^x \cdot u \big\} - (n_\ell D_k - n_k D_\ell)\big\{ D_\ell \Delta \Gamma^x \cdot D_j u \big\} \\ &\quad + D_\ell \Delta \Gamma^x \cdot (n_\ell D_k - n_k D_\ell) D_j u + (n_j D_\ell - n_\ell D_j)\big\{ \Delta D_k \Gamma^x \cdot D_\ell u \big\} \\ &\quad - \Delta D_k \Gamma^x \cdot (n_j D_\ell - n_\ell D_j) D_\ell u, \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

which may be verified by a direct computation, using the fact that $\Delta^2 \Gamma^x = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x\}$.

In view of (7.3), we may use integration by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_j D_k u(x) = &\int_{\partial\Omega_m} D_\ell \Delta \Gamma^x \cdot (n_\ell D_k - n_k D_\ell) D_j u \, d\sigma \\ &- \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \Delta D_k \Gamma^x \cdot (n_j D_\ell - n_\ell D_j) D_\ell u \, d\sigma \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} D_j D_k \Gamma^x \cdot \Delta u - D_j D_k \Gamma^x \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta u \right\} d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

We now let $m \to \infty$ in (7.4). It follows from (4.2) that

$$D_j D_k u(x) = I_{jk}(x) - \Lambda[g, D_j D_k \Gamma^x] \tag{7.5}$$

where

$$I_{jk}(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} D_\ell \Delta \Gamma^x \cdot (n_\ell D_k - n_k D_\ell) D_j u \, d\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} \Delta D_k \Gamma^x \cdot (n_j D_\ell - n_\ell D_j) D_\ell u \, d\sigma$$

and $\Lambda[\,,\,]$ is the bilinear form defined by (4.1). Clearly,

$$\|(I_{jk})^*\|_p \le C\, \|\nabla_t \nabla u\|_p. \tag{7.6}$$

To handle the second term in the right hand side of (7.5), we observe that the bilinear estimate (1.8) implies that

$$T_g(\dot{f}) = \Lambda[g, f]$$

defines a bounded linear functional on $WA^{1,q}(\partial\Omega)$ which can be identified with $W^{1,q}(\partial\Omega) \times L^q(\partial\Omega)$ by the map $(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_d) \to (f_0, n_1 f_1 + \cdots + n_d f_d)$. It follows that there exist functions G_0, $G_{s\ell}$, H in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$T_g(\dot{f}) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ G_{s\ell}(n_s D_\ell - n_\ell D_s) f + G_0 f + H \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} \right\} d\sigma \tag{7.7}$$

and

$$\|G_{s\ell}\|_p + \|G\|_p + \|H\|_p \le C\, \|T_g\| \le C \left\{ \|\nabla_t \nabla g\|_p + \|\nabla g\|_p + \|g\|_p \right\}. \tag{7.8}$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$\Lambda[g, D_j D_k \Gamma^x] = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ G_{s\ell}(n_s D_\ell - n_\ell D_s) D_j D_k \Gamma^x + G_0 D_j D_k \Gamma^x + H \frac{\partial}{\partial n} D_j D_k \Gamma^x \right\} d\sigma$$

and

$$\|(\Lambda[g, D_j D_k \Gamma^x])^*\|_p \le C \Big\{ \|\nabla_t \nabla g\|_p + \|\nabla g\|_p + \|g\|_p \Big\}. \tag{7.9}$$

In view of (7.5), (7.6) and (7.9), we have proved that

$$\|(\nabla^2 u)^*\|_p \le C \Big\{ \|\nabla_t \nabla g\|_p + \|\nabla g\|_p + \|g\|_p \Big\}.$$

The estimates of $\|(\nabla u)^*\|_p$ and $\|(u)^*\|_p$ are much easier and hence omitted.

Finally we remark that in the proof of the next theorem, it will be shown that the bilinear estimate (1.8) implies the existence of solutions in $(D)_q$. By Theorem 3.1 this gives the uniqueness in $(R)_p$. The proof of Theorem 7.1 is now complete. □

Theorem 7.2. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Suppose the bilinear estimate (1.8) holds for any $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then the L^q Dirichlet problem in Ω is uniquely solvable.*

Proof. It follows from the proof of Theorem 7.1 that the bilinear estimate (1.8) implies the existence of solutions in $(R)_p$. By Theorem 3.2 this gives the uniqueness in $(D)_q$.

To establish the existence in $(D)_q$, we will show that for any $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the unique solution of the L^2 regularity problem with boundary data $D^\alpha f$ satisfies the estimate

$$\|(\nabla u)^*\|_q \le C \big\{ \|\nabla f\|_q + \|f\|_q \big\}. \tag{7.10}$$

The existence of solutions with general data in $WA^{1,q}(\partial\Omega)$ follows by a standard approximation argument.

It follows from (7.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} D_j u(x) = &- \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta D_j \Gamma^x \cdot u - \Delta D_j \Gamma^x \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right\} d\sigma \\ &- \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} D_j \Gamma^x \cdot \Delta u - D_j \Gamma^x \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta u \right\} d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

To handle the first term in the right hand side of (7.11), we use the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta D_j \Gamma^x \cdot u = (n_k D_j - n_j D_k)\big\{ D_k \Delta \Gamma^x \cdot u \big\} - D_k \Delta \Gamma^x \cdot (n_k D_j - n_j D_k) u$$

and integration by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_j u(x) = &\int_{\partial\Omega_m} \left\{ D_k \Delta \Gamma^x \cdot (n_k D_j - n_j D_k) u + D_j \Delta \Gamma^x \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right\} d\sigma \\ &- \int_{\partial\Omega_m} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} D_j \Gamma^x \cdot \Delta u - D_j \Gamma^x \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta u \right\} d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

Letting $m \to \infty$ in (7.12) gives

$$D_j u(x) = I_j(x) + \Lambda[f, D_j \Gamma^x], \tag{7.13}$$

where

$$I_j(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ D_k \Delta \Gamma^x \cdot (n_k D_j - n_j D_k) u + D_j \Delta \Gamma^x \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right\} d\sigma.$$

It is easy to see that $\|(I_j)^*\|_q \le C \, \|\nabla f\|_q$.

To estimate the nontangential maximal function of the second term in the right hand side of (7.13), we observe that by the bilinear estimate (1.8),

$$S_f(g) = \Lambda[f, g] = \Lambda[g, f]$$

defines a bounded linear functional on the space $X^{2,p}(\partial\Omega)$ and its norm is bounded by $C\,\{\|\nabla f\|_q + \|f\|_q\}$. Here $X^{2,p}(\partial\Omega)$ is the completion of

$$\big\{ \dot{g} = (g_\alpha)_{|\alpha|\le 1} = (D^\alpha g|_{\partial\Omega})_{|\alpha|\le 1} : \ g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \big\}$$

under the norm

$$\|\dot{g}\|_{X^{2,p}(\partial\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|\nabla_t g_\alpha\|_p + \sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} \|g_\alpha\|_p.$$

We further note that using the map

$$\dot{g} = (g_\alpha)_{|\alpha|\le 1} \to \big(g_\alpha, (n_i D_k - n_k D_i) g_\alpha \big)_{|\alpha|\le 1, 1\le i<k\le d},$$

the space $X^{2,p}(\partial\Omega)$ may be regarded as a subspace of $Y = L^p(\partial\Omega) \times \cdots \times L^p(\partial\Omega)$. By the Hahn-Banach Theorem, S_f extends to a bounded linear functional on Y. It follows that there exist functions $H_\alpha, H_{\alpha,i,k}$ in $L^q(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$S_f(\dot{g}) = \sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} \int_{\partial\Omega} g_\alpha H_\alpha \, d\sigma + \sum_{\alpha,i,k} \int_{\partial\Omega} (n_i D_k - n_k D_i) g_\alpha \cdot H_{\alpha,i,k} \, d\sigma$$

and

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} \|H_\alpha\|_q + \sum_{\alpha,i,k} \|H_{\alpha,i,k}\|_q \le C \, \|S_f\| \le C \Big\{ \|\nabla f\|_q + \|f\|_q \Big\}.$$

Thus

$$\Lambda[f, D_i\Gamma^x] = \sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} \int_{\partial\Omega} D^\alpha D_i \Gamma^x \cdot H_\alpha \, d\sigma + \sum_{\alpha,i,k} \int_{\partial\Omega} (n_i D_k - n_k D_i) D^\alpha D_i \Gamma^x \cdot H_{\alpha,i,k} \, d\sigma$$

and as a consequence, we obtain

$$\| \big(\Lambda[f, D_i\Gamma^x]\big)^* \|_q \le C \Big\{ \sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} \|H_\alpha\|_q + \sum_{\alpha,i,k} \|H_{\alpha,i,k}\|_q \Big\} \le C \Big\{ \|\nabla f\|_q + \|f\|_q \Big\}. \tag{7.14}$$

This, together with the estimate for $I_j(x)$, gives the desired estimate (7.10). □

8 Proof of Theorems 1.2, 1.4 and 1.5

By combining Theorems 5.1, 6.1, 7.1 and 7.2, we obtain Theorem 1.2 and thus Theorem 1.1.

It follows from Theorem 1.1 in [23] that for any $q > 2$, $(D)_q$ in Ω is solvable if and only if there exist $C_0 > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that for any $Q \in \partial\Omega$ and $0 < r < r_0$, the weak reverse Hölder condition

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{r^{d-1}} \int_{I(Q,r)} |(\nabla u)^*|^q \, d\sigma \right\}^{1/q} \le C_0 \left\{ \frac{1}{r^{d-1}} \int_{I(Q,2r)} |(\nabla u)^*|^2 \, d\sigma \right\}^{1/2}, \tag{8.1}$$

holds for any biharmonic function u in Ω with the properties that $(\nabla u)^* \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and $u = |\nabla u| = 0$ on $I(Q, 3r)$. Similarly, by Theorem 1.1 in [12], given any $p > 2$, $(R)_p$ in Ω is solvable if and only if there exist $C_1 > 0$ and $r_1 > 0$ such that for any $Q \in \partial\Omega$ and $0 < r < r_1$, the weak reverse Hölder condition

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{r^{d-1}} \int_{I(Q,r)} |(\nabla^2 u)^*|^p \, d\sigma \right\}^{1/p} \le C_1 \left\{ \frac{1}{r^{d-1}} \int_{I(Q,2r)} |(\nabla^2 u)^*|^2 \, d\sigma \right\}^{1/2}, \tag{8.2}$$

holds for any biharmonic function u in Ω with the properties that $(\nabla^2 u)^* \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and $u = |\nabla u| = 0$ on $I(Q, 3r)$. Hence, by Theorem 1.1, the solvability of $(D)_q$ for $q < 2$ is equivalent to the weak reverse Hölder condition (8.2) with $p = \frac{q}{q-1}$. Theorem 1.4 now follows from the well known self-improving property of the weak reverse Hölder inequalities.

Finally we give the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof. (of Theorem 1.5). Let Ω be a convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$. It was proved in [24] that $(D)_q$ in Ω is solvable for $2 < q < \infty$. By Theorem 1.1, this implies that $(R)_p$ is solvable for $1 < p < 2$. To establish the solvability of $(D)_q$ and $(R)_p$ for the remaining ranges, we need to use results in [12, 13].

Let u be a solution of the L^2 regularity problem in Ω. Suppose that $u = |\nabla u| = 0$ in $B(P, 5r) \cap \Omega$ for some $P \in \partial\Omega$ and $0 < r < r_0$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 in [13] that

$$\sup_{B(P,r)\cap\Omega} |\nabla^2 u| \leq \frac{C}{r^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^d} \int_{B(P,3r)\cap\Omega} |u|^2 \, dx \right\}^{1/2}.$$

This, together with the assumption $u = |\nabla u| = 0$ on $B(P, 5r) \cap \partial\Omega$, gives that

$$\sup_{B(P,r)\cap\Omega} |\nabla^2 u| \leq C \left\{ \frac{1}{r^{d-1}} \int_{B(P,3r)\cap\partial\Omega} |(\nabla^2 u)^*|^2 \, d\sigma \right\}^{1/2}. \tag{8.3}$$

By Theorem 1.1 in [12], estimate (8.3) implies the solvability of $(R)_p$ for any $2 < p < \infty$. In view of Theorem 1.1, we also obtain the solvability of $(D)_q$ for $1 < q < 2$. As a result, the L^p Dirichlet and regularity problems in Ω are solvable for any $1 < p < \infty$. Finally we note that the weak maximum principle (1.10) follows from the solvability of $(R)_p$ and $(D)_q$ for some $p > d - 1$ and $q < \frac{d-1}{d-2}$, by an argument of Pipher and Verchota (see e.g. [19]). ☐

References

[1] V. Adolfsson and J. Pipher, *The inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem for Δ^2 in Lipschitz domains*, J. Func. Anal. **159** (1998), 137–190.

[2] J. Cohen and J. Gosselin, *The Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic equation in a bounded C^1 domain in the plane*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **32** (1983), 635–685.

[3] B. Dahlberg, *On estimates of harmonic measure*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **65** (1977), 275–288.

[4] ———, *On the Poisson integral for Lipschitz and C^1-domains*, Studia Math. **66** (1979), 13–24.

[5] B. Dahlberg and C. Kenig, *Hardy spaces and the Neumann problem in L^p for Laplace's equation in Lipschitz domains*, Ann. of Math **125** (1987), 437–466.

[6] ———, *L^p estimates for the three-dimensional system of elastostatics on Lipschitz domains*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics (Cora Sadoesky, ed.), vol. 122, Dekker, 1990, pp. 631–634.

[7] B. Dahlberg, C. Kenig, and G. Verchota, *The Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic equation in a Lipschitz domain*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **36** (1986), no. 3, 109–135.

[8] D. Jerison and C. Kenig, *The Neumann problem in Lipschitz domains*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **4** (1981), 203–207.

[9] D. Jerison and C Kenig, *The inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains*, J. Func. Anal. **130** (1995), no. 1, 161–219.

[10] C Kenig, *Harmonic Analysis Techniques for Second Order Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Math., vol. 83, AMS, Providence, RI, 1994.

[11] J. Kilty, *The L^p Dirichlet problem for the Stokes system on Lipschitz domains*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **58** (2009), no. 3, 1211–1226.

[12] J. Kilty and Z. Shen, *The L^p regularity problem on Lipschitz domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

[13] S. Mayboroda and V. Maz'ya, *Boundedness of the Hessian of a biharmonic function in a convex domain*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eqs. **33** (2008), 1439–1454.

[14] V. Maz'ya, M. Mitrea, and T. Shaposhnikova, *The Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains for higher order elliptic systems with rough coefficients*, Preprint.

[15] I. Mitrea and M. Mitrea, *Multiple layer potentials for higher order elliptic boundary value problems*, Preprint.

[16] I. Mitrea, M. Mitrea, J. Pipher, and M. Wright, *Optimal estimates for the inhomogeneous problem for the bi-Laplacian in three-dimensional Lipschitz domains*, Preprint.

[17] J. Pipher and G. Verchota, *Area integral estimates for the biharmonic operator in Lipschitz domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **327** (1991), no. 2, 903–917.

[18] ———, *The Dirichlet problem in L^p for the biharmonic equation on Lipschitz domains*, Amer. J. Math. **114** (1992), 923–972.

[19] ———, *A maximum principle for biharmonic functions in Lipschitz and C^1 domains*, Comment. Math. Helv. **68** (1993), 385–414.

[20] ———, *Dilation invariant estimates and the boundary Garding inequality for higher order elliptic operators*, Ann. of Math. **142** (1995), 1–38.

[21] Z. Shen, *A note on the Dirichlet problem for the Stokes system in Lipschitz domains*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), no. 3, 801–811.

[22] ———, *The L^p Dirichlet problem for elliptic systems on Lipschitz domains*, Math. Res. Lett. **13** (2006), no. 1, 143–159.

[23] ———, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of the L^p Dirichlet problem on Lipschitz domains*, Math. Ann. **336** (2006), no. 3, 697–724.

[24] ______, *On estimates of biharmonic functions on Lipschitz and convex domains*, J. Geom. Anal. **16** (2006), 721–734.

[25] ______, *The L^p boundary value problems on Lipschitz domains*, Adv. Math. **216** (2007), 212–254.

[26] E. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.

[27] G. Verchota, *Layer potentials and regularity for the Dirichlet problem for Laplace's equation in Lipschitz domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **59** (1984), 572–611.

[28] ______, *The Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic equation in C^1 domains*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **36** (1987).

[29] ______, *The Dirichlet problem for the polyharmonic equation in Lipschitz domains*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **39** (1990), 671–702.

[30] ______, *Potentials for the Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains*, Potential theory—ICPT 94 (Kouty, 1994), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 167–187.

[31] ______, *The biharmonic Neumann problem in Lipschitz domains*, Acta Math. **194** (2005), no. 2, 217–279.

[32] M. Wright and M. Mitrea, *Boundary value problems for the Stokes system in arbitrary Lipschitz domains*, Preprint.

Joel Kilty, Department of Mathematics, Centre College, Danville, KY 40422
E-mail address: `jkilty@ms.uky.edu`

Zhongwei Shen, Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506
E-mail address: `zshen2@email.uky.edu`

October 28, 2009